

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Tetsufumi TSUZAKI , et al.

Serial No.: 09/929,503

Filed: August 15, 2001



Group Art Unit: 3663

Examiner: S.C. Cunningham

For: RAMAN AMPLIFIER AND OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment in the above identified application.

No additional fee is required.

Applicant is entitled to small entity status under 37 CFR 1.27

Also attached:

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2003
GROUP 3600

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	NO. OF CLAIMS	HIGHEST PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	FEE
Total Claims	13	20	0	\$18.00 =	\$0.00
Independent Claims	4	4	0	\$84.00 =	\$0.00
Multiple claims newly presented					\$0.00
Fee for extension of time					\$0.00
					\$0.00
Total of Above Calculations					\$0.00

- Please charge my Deposit Account No. 500417 in the amount of \$0.00. An additional copy of this transmittal sheet is submitted herewith.
- The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 500417, including any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for presentation of extra claims and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY

Wesley L. Strickland
Registration No. 44,363

600 13th Street, N.W.
 Washington, DC 20005-3096
 (202) 756-8000 WLS:lmn
 Facsimile: (202) 756-8087
 Date: February 3, 2003



Docket No.: 50395-102

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Tetsufumi TSUZAKI , et al.

Serial No.: 09/929,503

: Group Art Unit: 3663

Filed: August 15, 2001

: Examiner: CUNNINGHAM, S.

For: RAMAN AMPLIFIER AND OPTICAL COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

#81 Response
Ross
2-13-03

AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2003

GROUP 3600

The following remarks are submitted in response to a non-Final Office Action
dated November 1, 2002.

REMARKS

Claims 1-13 are active and pending in the present application, all of which stand rejected. Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12 and 13 stand rejected under 35 USC 102 as anticipated by Miyakawa et al. (US 2002/0001123). Claims 1-3 and 5-10 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over Ma et al. (US 6, 151,160) in view of the article by Galeener et al. Claims 2 and 3 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over Miyakawa et al. in view of Galeener. Claim 11 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over Miyakawa et al. in view of Akasaka et al. (US 6,292,288). In response, Applicants provide the following remarks.